```
7
          Α.
                Okay.
                Did you receive this letter from
 2
          0.
     Denise Phillips?
 3
          Α.
                Yes.
 4
                 This is a letter from USBI?
          Q.
 5
                Correct.
 6
          Α.
 7
                 I guess also known as Billing
          Ο.
     Concepts?
 8
                 Billing Concepts, I believe, is the
 9
          Α.
     parent company.
10
                 What is it that Denise is telling you?
11
          Q.
                What she's telling us is that she's
12
          Α.
     not going to bill our customers in Vermont.
13
                And that is because?
14
          Ο.
                Because we have complaints there.
15
          Α.
     They were contacted by the PUC or PSC in Vermont.
16
     And they decided that they would not bill any
17
     more customers until they had planned for us to
18
     resolve any issues that we had with the state
19
20
     Government.
                 The next document I want to show you
21
          Ο.
```

```
is Bate Stamp 08073. The "Hi, Andrea" note is
 1
     something that you did?
 2
          Α.
                Yes.
 3
                What is it that you're telling Andrea?
 4
          Ο.
          Α.
                Just telling her that we have a plan
 5
     to resolve any problems that we have in the State
 6
     of Vermont so we can continue billing.
 7
                And if I remember from an earlier
 8
          Ο.
     e-mail, Andrea is not from the State of Vermont,
 9
     she's from the Billing Concepts or USBI?
10
                Correct. She's our representative
11
          Α.
     there.
12
                The date -- there's a note here from
13
          Ο.
     Andrea. And that's dated May 15. There no
14
     indication that I can see in terms of when your
15
     note to Andrea is. But I would assume it's
16
     around that period of time.
17
                Probably the same day or the next day.
18
          Α.
                The next document I want to show you
19
     is Bate Stamp 08072. Who is Ben Truman
20
     (phonetic)?
21
```

1 Α. Ben Truman is a representative of the 2 Vermont Government. He is the gentleman who I 3 dealt with before that I spoke to. 4 Ο. So before Sarah Hoffman? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. I'm sure it was. The next document I want do show you 8 Q. is Bate Stamp 08071. And it reflects dates of 9 10 May 22 and May 23, 2002. This exchange of e-mails between Amy and Ben, would I be correct 11 that what this is about is the reprovisioning 12 aspect of Business Options' practices? 13 That's what I would assume, yes. 14 Α. 1.5 And is it your understanding from this 16 e-mail that -- this exchange of e-mails that the 17 opinion of the State of Vermont representative is that reprovisioning practice is inappropriate? 18 19 Α. Yes. Did you bring this information that 20 0. appears in these two e-mails to the attention of 21

1 Kurtis or Keanan? No. I have not seen this before. 2 Α. You've not seen this? 3 Q. Not that I recall. 4 Α. But Amy was your assistant at the 5 Q. 6 time? 7 Yes. She basically handled all the Α. complaints. And if something were to get more 8 serious, then she would bring it to me. 9 would do most of the front-line work on the 10 11 complaints. Would it have been in the ordinary 12 Ο. course that if a state representative told Amy 13 that the company practice was inappropriate that 14 15 she would have brought that to your attention? 16 Α. Yes. So did there come a time then when you 17 became aware that the view of the State of 18 Vermont was that the reprovisioning practice that 19 BOI had used with respect to Vermont customers 20

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC.
(202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376)
"We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

21

was inappropriate?

- 1 Α. Yes. And did you bring that information to 2 Q. the attention of anybody else? 3 Α. I'm sure I discussed it with Kurtis. 4 You don't have any independent 5 Q. 6 recollection of doing so though? 7 Α. No. The next document I want to show you 8 Ο. 9 is two pages. It's Bate Stamp 08096 and 08097. 10 It's a letter that -- I'm a little confused here because on the first page, it reflects a date of 11 July 18. And the second page, it reflects a date 12 of July 15, 2002. Do you remember receiving this 13 letter from the State of Vermont? 14 1.5 Α. Yes. 16 What was you're understanding as to Q. 17 why this letter was sent to you?
 - A. They were not happy with our responses to their interrogatories. So they made a
- decision based on that, that they were going to

21 ask us to withdraw from the state.

18

19

1	Q. Do you know whether you brought this
2	letter to the attention of either Kurtis or
3	Keanan?
4	A. I don't remember specifically, but
5	that would have been the normal action.
6	Q. In the normal course of business, you
7	would have brought a matter such as this to the
8	attention of Kurtis or Keanan?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. The next document is Bate Stamp 08105.
11	It's a letter dated July 23, 2002. Did you
12	receive this letter?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And what is this letter telling you?
15	A. It's a response. They had asked
16	when we initially had the complaints there, there
17	were some problems with their tariff. They asked
18	that we reissue the tariff and make some changes.
19	I did so. The changes were not exactly what they
20	were looking for. They sent me that letter.
21	O. Whatever it was that you sent to the

State of Vermont was then rejected?

A. Yes.

- Q. And what, if anything, did you do as result of this letter?
- A. I believe I issued it twice. And twice, they -- there was something wrong. Not necessarily with the what was actually in the pages that I had submitted, it was the from in which I submitted it. Something like that was wrong. And after the second time, we had already gotten to the point where we were -- a legal action was taking place. And they were telling us to withdraw from the state. So we discontinued trying to reach them.
 - Q. The next document I'm going to hand you is a letter and then a set of information requests that follow that appear to be related to it. It's Bate Stamp 08113 through 08121. This letter and the attachment -- the first set of information requests, et cetera, you received?
 - A. Correct.

7 0. And what did you do as a result of receiving them? 2 I issued the information that was 3 Α. requested back to the state. 4 In other words, you tried to respond 5 to their set of information requests? 6 7 Α. Correct. Did anybody review what it was that 8 you sent in response to the State of Vermont's 9 information request? 10 I don't believe so. Α. 11 Would it have been in the normal 12 course of your job to respond without review to 13 such a set of requests? 14 Dependent upon what the information 15 they were requesting, it would have been. 16 Typically, I would write a letter. Then let 17 Kurtis review it. And then send it off. And I 1.8 don't specifically remember doing so. I remember 19 generating the information. I'm not sure if I 20

passed it by Kurtis or not.

Q. The next document I'm going to show 1 you is dated August 26, 2002. The Bate Stamp is 2 08122. Did you receive this letter? 3 Α. Yes. What's going on here? Q. 5 We got to the deadline of their 6 Α. information request. And I was going out of the 7 office for a few days, so I requested an 8 extension. 9 So this is a request -- concerning the 10 0. request for an extension to respond to whatever 11 questions it was that the State of Vermont had 12 sent you? 13 Correct. 14 Α. 15 And it makes reference here to medical tests. What's that all about? 16 I was going in for some testing. And 17 Α. I was going to miss a few days of work, which was 18 19 right at the point where we had to have the information submitted. 20 The next document I'm going to show 21 Ο.

1 you is Bate Stamp 08123 through 08129. 2 Α. Okay. 3 Ο. Have you seen this document before? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And you see the title of it, "Preliminary Injunction." What, if any, 6 7 understanding did you have as to what this document is supposed to be doing? 8 Eliminating our license or agreement 9 to sell or bill in the State of Vermont. 10 On the very first page at the bottom, Q. 11 there's a footnote that asserts that BOI did not 12 13 attend the hearing and did file a notice of appearance as of the date that is noted. Is that 14 assertion accurate so far as you know? 15 Α. Yes. I had made some agreements with 16 the representatives of the Public Service Board 17 there. And the agreement was that we would work 18 through it. And everything would be done on a 19 voluntary basis. Including our withdrawal if 20

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC.
(202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376)
"We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

they saw that as necessary. They went ahead and

```
kind of went legal on us. And it was not something we were anticipating because we were going along basically doing everything they asked us to do.

And there was really -- they called and said, "We're going to have a hearing." And there was no way for me to go to it. My assumption was that we were withdrawing from the
```

Kurtis.

Vermont?

there was no way for me to go to it. My
assumption was that we were withdrawing from the
state anyway. So I knew what their finding was
going to be, so I said to go ahead and have it.
So they probably told me there wasn't going to be
a hearing, but there was, you know, no purpose in
my mind to go. And I know I discussed that with

Q. You weren't excited about flying to

A. No. Vermont sounds probably as good as Mississippi, but I just wasn't anxious to go to that one.

Q. Your recollection is, that the possibility of attending the hearing was brought

to Kurtis's attention? 1 I told him that there was going to be 2 a hearing. And he said, "Do you want to go?" 3 And I said, "No." And he said, "What's going to 4 happen?" And I said, "They're going to 5 probably -- we're going to get an injunction and 6 they're going to tell us not to sell or bill." 7 And he said, "Are we selling and billing now?" 8 And I said, "No." 9 So he said, "Fine. Don't go"? 10 Q. Α. Absolutely. 11 I'm going to show you Bate Stamp 12 Q. Numbers 08135 through 08142. 1.3 14 Α. Okay. The letter and the -- the two-page 15 letter and then the final stipulation, these were 16 materials that were sent to you? 17 18 Α. Yes. With respect to the final stipulation, 19 Ο. was that a matter that -- was that a document 20 that you showed anybody? 21

1	A. I issued a copy of it to Kurtis and to
2	Keanan.
3	Q. And that was to let them know that
4	there was some agreement in place regarding the
5	withdrawal of Business Options in Vermont?
6	A. Yes. I had to request money to do the
7	refunds that are mentioned in the final
8	stipulation. So I had to inform Kurtis of why I
9	would make that kind of request. The sum was
10	\$15,000, I think, and some change. And in order
11	to get that type of request through for that kind
12	of money, I had to provide some kind of proof
13	that it was a legitimate request from a state.
14	Q. And the proof would have been that
15	final stipulation?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Would it be the case that as of
18	November 26th, 2002, you were no longer
19	physically at the 8380 Louisiana Street location?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. You had stopped work there about a

1 month before? My last day, I believe, was November 2 3 1. In terms of general office practices 4 Ο. 5 while you were at Business Options, Buzz Telecom, et cetera, if a fax had been addressed to Kurtis 6 Kintzel, where would that fax have gone? 7 To Kurtis Kintzel. Α. 8 Would it go to, say, his secretary in 9 Ο. the first instance who might have blocked it and 10 then it off someplace else? 11 Anytime when Kurtis had an assistant, 12 that assistant would filter anything that was 13 14 going to him. So it's very possible the fax that was addressed to him would go to her. And she 15 would go through it and say, "This is important. 16 This is not." Kurtis would only look at the 17 important stuff. 18 So with respect to any fax that was 19 sent to Kurtis, it is conceivable that whoever 20

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC.

(202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376)

"We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

his secretary was could have blocked it and not

shown it to him? 1 2 Α. Certainly. 3 Q. But in the ordinary course, if it were 4 important -- let's say it came from a state PUC, anything from a state PUC. 5 If it came from a state PUC and I was 6 there, it would have been directed to me 7 typically. No matter who it was addressed to. 8 Also dependent on who pulled it off the fax. At 9 times when Kurtis had an administrative 10 assistant, that person would not only empty his 11 specific fax machine at his office, but also go 12 to his mailbox and empty it. And he would sort 13 14 through everything in there before he would see anything. And it would be up to that person's 15

(Discussion held off the record.)

Q. What I'm showing you next, Mr.

judgment. Not only if Kurtis see something, but

if it needed to be routed to someone else like me

21 | Brzycki, is a document dated November 1, 2002.

or Keanan or whomever.

16

17

18

19

20

```
And it is from the Federal Communications
 1
     Commission. The letter itself, I believe, is six
 2
     pages in length. And there's a two-page
 3
 4
     attachment that follows. The first question I
     have is, have you ever seen this document before?
 5
          Α.
                No.
 6
                Were you aware of its existence prior
 7
          Ο.
     to today?
 8
 9
          Α.
                No.
                Do you have any knowledge as to
          Q.
10
     whether or not the legal department at Business
11
     Options responded to this letter?
12
          Α.
                No.
13
                In your tenure as the head of
14
     corporate affairs at Business Options, did you
15
     ever receive a letter like this from the Federal
16
     Communications Commission?
17
                Not from the Federal Communications
18
          Α.
     Commission, no.
19
                We've gone over some other documents
20
          Ο.
21
     that are similar to this from various states,
```

1 but --Yes. Never from the Federal 2 Α. Government. 3 0. Just holding onto the November 1 4 5 letter for a minute, I'm going to show you another document that's from Business Options 6 7 dated December 9, 2002. Just glance through that. 8 (Witness Reviewing Document.) 9 Have you ever seen the response that 10 Ο. Business Options sent to the Federal 11 12 Communications Commissions before? 13 Α. No. 14 Were you aware that such a response Q. had been prepared? 15 Α. 16 No. Keep them both in front of you. 17 Q. want you to take a look at the questions and then 18 19 Business Options' answers. Given what you know 20 having worked at corporate affairs in Business 21 Options, was question one responded to fully and

1 accurately by Business Options? Α. No. 2 What is it that you see that leads you ο, 3 4 to that opinion? A description of each subsidiary or 5 affiliate identified. I don't see anything 6 identified. A list of officers and directors for 7 each affiliate entity, I don't see any of that. 8 Provide all relevant documents. And I think I 9 saw one, just the State of Illinois. That's it. 10 There seems to be a lot missing. 11 If you could, please do the same with 12 Ο. respect to question two. 13 I don't know what the registration 14 requirements are, but I don't know why the 15 16 certificate of authority from Illinois would be included in a Federal request. 17 Moving on to question three. And I 18 Ο.

Q. Moving on to question three. And I recognize question three has a number of subparts. So why don't you take all the time you need before trying to respond.

19

20

21

(Witness Reviewing Document.)

- Q. And also to assist your understanding with respect to question three, it refers to the complaints, the list of complaints that are attached to the letter.
 - A. From the State of Maine?
- Q. Right.

- A. They basically didn't answer the question at all, number three.
 - Q. What makes you say that?
- A. They don't answer anything that's requested. They're basically saying they did none of this. And they should have been addressing each paragraph independently. Dealing with it in a much more expanded way.
- Q. Does that mean that to your knowledge -- and that, I'm sure has been bolstered apart by all the documents that we looked at earlier today. That there were some switches of some kind that took place post April 1, 2002 with respect to customers that are noted

on the attachment. 1 Yes. Just from my knowledge of the 2 company, but also, we know that some people were 3 reprovisioned or whatever the proper term is. 4 That should have been listed. And those 5 complaints were in the office. 6 How is it that somebody in Shannon's Ο. 7 position could have come across the information 8 necessary to answer question three? 9 It's very possible she did not 10 Α. understand what was there. But every piece of 11 documentation that I just wrote that we went 12 through, she had a copy of. 13 In other words, the nine directives Q. 14 that have become exhibits, you had left with her? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Did you talk about them with her other 17 Q. to say, "Here they are"? 18 I think I just gave them to her and 19 Α. told her to call me if she had any questions. 20

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC.
(202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376)
"We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

Good luck, amiga?

21

ο.

- A. Exactly.
- Q. Okay.

1.8

- A. I don't think that she comes from a telecommunications background. So it's possible that she just had no idea. I think she comes from a military background. But obviously, they did not respond the way I would have responded if I'd have been there.
 - Q. How about question four?
- A. Again, there's more information that they should have included. They did, at least, provide some of that documentation. But there are three scripts minimum that have been used. And also scripts for the previous company. So you should have at least got a set of Buzz scripts and a set of U.S. Bell or Business Options' scripts.
 - Q. How about question five?
- A. Obviously, they're giving you almost no information that's requested. They're just giving you a very basic description.

Q. Question six.

2.0

- A. Again, it's the same thing. They're not really treating this with the seriousness that it should have been treated with. And it looks like they just didn't know what to do.

 They went to somebody that's not in legal to ask for help and someone who really doesn't know.
- Q. Are you now referring to the answers that were provided with respect to seven through eleven?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Specifically, with respect to question seven, take a look at the question and take a look at the answer provided. And the basic outstanding question is whether the information provided in response to the FCC's question was complete and accurate.
- A. No. Certainly not complete, but we had misrepresentation complaints.
- Q. Such as we had talked about with respect to that person Albert, who is a sales

1 manager. That had taken place post April 1, 2002? 2 That would be a subject I'd have to go 3 Α. back and look even in the case of these Maine 4 5 complaints. At the very minimum over a normal 6 course of business, you get a misrepresentation 7 complaint one or two a week without question. matter what, people think -- when you call, some 8 9 think you're from AT&T or what have you. Even if they say, "Are you from AT&T?" And you say, "No. 10 I'm not from AT&T." They hear you say "AT&T." 11 12 And if they complain about the sale, that's 13 misrepresentation. 14 Or at least it could be found to have Q. been such? 15 Right. That would be the way we would 16 Α. 17 define it if that's the occurrence. Moving on to question eight. 18 Q. 19 Α. It may be accurate that he didn't find

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC. (202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376) "We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

any examples. It's kind of a general answer in

"We do have our ears up in monitoring and anyone

20

on the sales floor for anyone who says AT&T, Sprint, any name that's recognizable." It's possible that there are no cited examples of that. My experience is that you get someone on the floor typically at least once a month who thinks that they can get away with that. That's the kind of people that you hire and fire in that industry.

1.4

- Q. In order to properly answer question eight, what kind of research would have been involved?
- A. He would have to go in and look at complaints and see if there was anything there. And also review employee files to see if anyone was fired for misrepresentation. And with every person who is dismissed from the company, a little form is made out, it's called a routing out form. And a reason is given why they're being routed out. Whether it's this person decided to quit; this person walked off the job; this person said he was from AT&T; this person

slapped somebody. Whatever the reason that is on there, he would need to review those. Usually, those stick out in your memory. Something like a misrepresentation or a fight or something like that because they don't happen that often.

- Q. Given that the letter is inquiring about activity or instances that occurred after April 1, and it's my recollection from your testimony and that of Mr. Chill that he came on the scene sometime after April 1. What could he have done to have learned what, if anything, had transpired prior to his coming on board going back to April 1?
- A. He would have had to physically go through the employee files and physically go through the complaint files to find that information predating himself.
 - Q. Moving on to question nine.
- A. Again, it's the same situation where he would have to go back and look through the documents to find it. Unless he had specifically